02-1-852 Patent

REMARKS

Claims 1, 5, 8 and 17 have been amended. Claim 4 has been canceled. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner rejected claims 8-16 and 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicants regard as their invention. The applicants have amended claims 8 and 17 to identify more clearly which ends of the plural wires are twisted together.

In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner did not provide a rejection for claims 4-6. Since the Examiner did not specifically reject these claims, the applicants respectfully assert that these claims are allowable. The applicants have amended claim 1 to include the limitation of claim 4. Thus, the applicants respectfully assert that amended claim 1 and its dependent claims are now allowable.

The applicants would also like to point out the Gukelberger (3,903,324) reference was not listed in the Examiner's Notice of References Cited. Furthermore, the applicants respectfully assert that Gukelberger is concerned with molybdenum films and not molybdenum wires.

In view of the foregoing amendment, it is believed that the Examiner's rejections have been overcome and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert F. Clark

Reg. No. 33,853

OSRAM SYLVANIA Inc.

100 Endicott St.

Danvers, MA 01923